

# WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE ON SCHOOL SAFETY: Causes & Prevention of Youth Violence

## Participant Videoconference Data and Evaluation Form

**Directions:** This survey is being conducted by the Center for Criminal Justice Education & Research in the Department of Correctional & Juvenile Justice Studies at Eastern Kentucky University. Please answer the following questions as honestly and as accurately as possible. Your responses are essential in planning and implementing future videoconferences, and any information you provide here will be held in the strictest confidence by Eastern Kentucky University. If you have any questions about the evaluation, please contact Dr. James Wells at (606) 622-1155. Thank you for your assistance.

Please indicate which broadcast of the videoconference your site participated in. (Check all that apply)

- LIVE COVERAGE OF THE WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE ON SCHOOL SAFETY (10:30 AM-12:15 PM EDT)
- REBROADCAST OF THE WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE ON SCHOOL SAFETY (12:30-1:45 PM EDT)
- SATELLITE BROADCAST WITH THE FIRST LADY (2:00-3:45 PM EDT)

### Part I: Participant Information

1. Gender      MALE    FEMALE
2. Age \_\_\_\_\_ (years)
3. Ethnicity      WHITE      BLACK      HISPANIC    OTHER (Please specify) \_\_\_\_\_
4. Highest Level of Education Completed
  - HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE/GED      ASSOCIATE DEGREE      BACHELOR'S DEGREE
  - MASTER'S DEGREE      DOCTORATE DEGREE (Ph.D)    OTHER (Please Specify) \_\_\_\_\_
- 5a. Current Occupation (e.g., law enforcement, education, etc.) \_\_\_\_\_
- 5b. Years in Current Occupation \_\_\_\_\_(years)
- 6a. Current Job Title (be specific) \_\_\_\_\_
- 6b. Years in Current Job \_\_\_\_\_ (years)
7. Years Experience in Youth-Related Programs \_\_\_\_\_ (years)
8. Number of OJJDP videoconferences in which you have previously participated \_\_\_\_\_

### Part II: Videoconference Evaluation–Technical Aspects (Using the appropriate scale, circle the number that best reflects your view.)

	Very Unsatis- factory	Unsatis- factory	Satis- Neutral	Very Satis- factory	factory
9. VIEWING SITE (comfort, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5
10. AUDIO PORTION OF CONFERENCE	1	2	3	4	5
11. VIDEO PORTION OF CONFERENCE	1	2	3	4	5
12. READABILITY/CLARITY OF VISUAL AIDS USED (charts, graphics, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5
13. USE OF PHONE FOR CALL-IN	1	2	3	4	5

### Part III: Videoconference Evaluation-Nontechnical Aspects

14. LOCAL SITE FACILITATOR'S ASSISTANCE	1	2	3	4	5	
15. KNOWLEDGE OF PANELISTS ABOUT TOPIC	1	2	3	4	5	
16. CLARITY OF PANELISTS IN CONVEYING POINT	1	2	3	4	5	
17. QUANTITY OF PARTICIPANT-PANELIST INTERACTIONS		1	2	3	4	5
18. QUALITY OF PARTICIPANT-PANELIST INTERACTIONS	1	2	3	4	5	
19. PACKET OF MATERIALS PROVIDED FOR PARTICIPANTS	1	2	3	4	5	

(continued on next page)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Not Sure	Agree	Strongly Agree
20. I ACQUIRED NEW KNOWLEDGE AND IDEAS FROM THIS VIDEOCONFERENCE.	1	2	3	4	5
21. I FOUND THIS VIDEOCONFERENCE INTERESTING.	1	2	3	4	5
22. THERE WAS ENOUGH TIME TO ADEQUATELY COVER THE TOPIC.	1	2	3	4	5
23. I ANTICIPATE APPLYING WHAT I HAVE LEARNED FROM THIS CONFERENCE TO MY WORK.	1	2	3	4	5
24. I FOUND THIS VIDEOCONFERENCE TO BE AS EFFECTIVE FOR DISSEMINATING INFORMATION AS TRADITIONAL CONFERENCING.	1	2	3	4	5
25. THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THIS VIDEOCONFERENCE WAS TOO GENERAL/BROAD.	1	2	3	4	5
26. THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THIS VIDEOCONFERENCE WAS TOO NARROW/SPECIFIC.	1	2	3	4	5
27. THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THIS VIDEOCONFERENCE WAS USEFUL.	1	2	3	4	5
28. THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THIS VIDEOCONFERENCE WAS RELEVANT TO MY FIELD.	1	2	3	4	5
29. THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THIS VIDEOCONFERENCE WAS TIMELY.	1	2	3	4	5
30. I WOULD LIKE TO PARTICIPATE IN FUTURE OJJDP VIDEOCONFERENCES.	1	2	3	4	5

**Part IV: Additional Comments**

31. The most beneficial aspect(s) of this videoconference was/were (Circle all that apply):

- |                                         |                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| (1) GAINING NEW KNOWLEDGE               | (5) SHARING INFORMATION AND IDEAS BETWEEN SITES |
| (2) NETWORKING WITH OTHER PROFESSIONALS | (6) PROVIDING CONCRETE EXAMPLES                 |
| (3) FORMAT AND APPROACH                 | (7) EXPERTISE OF PANELISTS                      |
| (4) VARIETY OF PROGRAMS DESCRIBED       | (8) OTHER (Please specify) _____                |

32. How could the videoconference have been more productive and worthwhile? (Circle all that apply.)

- (1) PROVIDE MORE SPECIFIC "HANDS-ON" INFORMATION
- (2) IMPROVEMENTS OR ADDITIONS TO PRINT MATERIALS DISTRIBUTED
- (3) MORE ON-SITE PARTICIPATION
- (4) TECHNICAL IMPROVEMENTS (e.g., video, audio)
- (5) OTHER (Please specify) \_\_\_\_\_

33. Please describe any barriers or impediments that you see to applying on the job what you learned from this videoconference (e.g.,resources, staff, etc.). \_\_\_\_\_

34. Upon what party (parties) would application of what you learned from this videoconference mostly depend (e.g., line staff, middle management, legislators, etc.)? \_\_\_\_\_

35. What topics would you like to see covered in future OJJDP videoconferences? \_\_\_\_\_

36. Additional Comments? \_\_\_\_\_